

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. RO999-123(IB 5685		
09/435,789	11	/08/1999	GORDON JAMES SMITH			
7	590	03/29/2005		EXAMINER		
IBM Corpora			ARANI, TAGHI T			
Intellectual Property Law 3605 Highway 52 North				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Dept. 917 - Bl	dg. 006-1		2131			
Rochester, MN 55901-7829				DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/435,789	SMITH, GORDON JAMES		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Taghi T. Arani			

	Taghi T. Arani	2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 1/2/4/2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	CATION IN CONDITION FOR ALL	OWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The 	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aband ment, affidavit, or other evidence, val fee) in compliance with 37 CFR of reply must be filed within one of t	donment of this applic which places the appli 41.31; or (3) a Reque	cation in st for Continued
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (box 1).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office.	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appearance was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4. Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period. 	1.37 must be filed within two month FR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing t	the Notice of
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further confusion. (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		·	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>7-9,17-19 and 25</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,11-16 and 24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appear	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
•			

Applicant's arguments filed 1/24/2005 have been fully considered but ther are not persuasive.

As per applicant's arguments relating to the rejection of independent claims 1, 6, 11 and 24, the Applicat argues that Lee et al., s use of sampling time intervals is only for synchronization of desclambler relative to the scaramble and that Lee et al. patent does not disclose using these samples in the context of watermarkin and authentication signals representing a work as required by independent claims 1, 6, 11, and 24. The examiner noted in the previous office action that Lee does not explicitly disclose the use of the samples in the context of watermarking signals representing a work as required by independent claims 1 and 11, but would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the claimed invention because of ordinary skill in the art undrestands that once the concept of uneven or non-uniform sampling rate is utilized, the nature of the input signals is irrelevant since all these signals are represented in digital form.

1,

AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100